arXiv:1203.2375v1 [math-ph] 11 Mar 2012

On a no-go theorem for classical Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamics in odd-dimensional worlds

I. Aharonovich[1] and L. P. Horwitz[1,2,3,4]

[1] *Bar-Ilan University, Deppartment of Physics, Ramat Gan, Israel*
[2] *Tel-Aviv University, School of Physics, Ramat Aviv, Israel*
[3] *College of Judea and Samaria, Ariel, Israel*
[4] *IYAR, Israel Institute for Advanced Research, Rehovot, Israel*

PACS `03.50.De` – Classical electromagnetism, Maxwell equations
PACS `11.10.Kk` – Field theories in dimensions other than four

Abstract – A non-existence theorem of classical electrodynamics in odd-dimensional spacetimes is shown to be invalid. The source of the error is pointed out, and is then demonstrated during the derivation of the fields generated by a uniformly moving point source.

Introduction. – In [1], Kosyakov *et al* have asserted a *no-go* theorem for the existence of electromagnetic fields due to arbitrarily moving point sources in odd-dimensional spacetimes. The proof showed that the potentials $A^\mu(x)$ due to such a source are *pure gauge* $A^\mu(x) = \partial^\mu \chi(x)$ derived from some scalar function $\chi(x)$. Therefore, any fields $F^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu A^\nu - \partial^\nu A^\mu$ derived from such potentials are *identically zero.*

An error in the derivation, however, renders the conclusion false. In the following, we show the exact point of error, and we demonstrate it along the a derivation of the fields generated by a uniformly moving point source.

Maxwell electrodynamics in odd-dimensional spacetime. – Following [1], we begin with the definition of the current $j^\mu(x)$ in some odd-N-dimensional spacetime. Given an arbitrary worldline $z^\mu(s)$ parameterized by proper time s, the *covariant form* of the current is

$$j^\mu(x) = e\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v^\mu(s)\delta^N(x - z(s))\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{1}$$

where $v^\mu(s) = \dot{z}^\mu = \mathrm{d}z^\mu/\,\mathrm{d}s$. In the generalized Lorentz gauge, the potentials $A^\mu(x)$ obey the N-dimensional wave equation:

$$\partial_\nu\partial^\nu A^\mu(x) = j^\mu(x) \tag{2}$$

A solution for such an equation is given by

$$A^\mu(x) = \int G_{\mathrm{ret}}(x - x')j^\mu(x')\,\mathrm{d}^N x' \tag{3}$$

The *retarded* Green function $G_{\mathrm{ret}}(x)$ is given by [1,3,4]

$$G_{\mathrm{ret}}(x) = \frac{(-1)^n}{\Omega_N}\theta(x_0)\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x^2}\Big)^n\frac{\theta(-x^2)}{\sqrt{-x^2}} \tag{4}$$

where n is defined by $D = 2n + 3$, and $x^2 = x_\mu x^\mu$.

Defining $R^\mu(s) = x^\mu - z^\mu(s)$, the potentials become

$$A^\mu(x) = (-1)^n\frac{e}{\Omega_D}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v^\mu(s)\theta(R^0)\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}R^2}\Big)^n\frac{\theta(-R^2)}{\sqrt{-R^2}}\,\mathrm{d}s \tag{5}$$

Then, the integration variable s is substituted with $\lambda = -R^2$, and $\mathrm{d}s/\,\mathrm{d}\lambda = -1/2(R\cdot v)$, leading to

$$A^\mu(x) = (-1)^{2n+1}\frac{e}{2\Omega_D}\int_0^\infty \frac{v^\mu}{R\cdot v}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\Big)^n\frac{\theta(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \tag{6}$$

Now, the expression $v^\mu/(R\cdot v)$ is observed to be $\partial^\mu \ln|R\cdot v|$, and therefore

$$A^\mu(x) = (-1)\frac{e}{2\Omega_D}\int_0^\infty \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\ln|R\cdot v|\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\Big)^n\frac{\theta(\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \tag{7}$$

Doing $n = D/2 - 3$ integration by parts and regularizing the boundary terms to be zero, we have

$$A^\mu(x) = (-1)^{n+1}\frac{e}{2\Omega_D}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\Big)^n\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\ln|R\cdot v|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \tag{8}$$

If one takes the partial derivative $\partial/\partial x_\mu$ outside the integral, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu(x) &= \partial^\mu \chi(x) \\ \chi(x) &= (-1)^{n+1}\frac{e}{2\Omega_D}\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}\lambda}\right)^n \ln|R\cdot v|\,\mathrm{d}\lambda \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

The form of (9) suggests that the potentials $A^\mu(x)$ are pure gauge. However, (9) is essentially *incomplete*. The very subtle key point lies in the substitution $\lambda = -R^2$, where the worldline function $z^\mu(s)$ and the associated velocity $v^\mu(s)$ are now functions of λ. However, $\lambda = \lambda(x; s)$ is a function of s, the original integration variable, *and the observation point* x^μ *as well*. Now s becomes a dependent variable, inverted from $\lambda(x; s)$ to be $s = s(x; \lambda)$, bringing *explicit* x^μ *dependency* into z^μ, v^μ and $R^\mu(x;\lambda) = x^\mu - z^\mu(x;\lambda)$. Therefore, the corrected form of $v^\mu/R\cdot v$ is more complicated, i.e.,

$$\frac{v^\mu}{R\cdot v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\ln|R\cdot v| - \frac{v^2 - R\cdot a}{R\cdot v}\frac{\partial s}{\partial x_\mu} \tag{10}$$

where $a^\mu(s) = \mathrm{d}^2 z^\mu/\,\mathrm{d}s^2$. Equations (8) and (9) are therefore, *incomplete*. The second term in (10) indicates that the additional terms are *not in pure gauge form*, leading to the conclusion that $A^\mu(x)$ is *not a pure gauge*.

This completes our argument.

Fields due to a uniformly moving charge. – The worldline of a uniformly moving particle is given by

$$z^\mu(s) = b^\mu s \tag{11}$$

where $v^\mu = b^\mu$ is *constant velocity*, and $z^\mu(0) = 0$.

Then, using (5)

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu(x) = (-1)^n\frac{e}{\Omega_D}\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} & b^\mu\theta(t - b^0 s)\cdot \\ &\cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\right)^n \frac{\theta(-(x-bs)^2 + a)}{\sqrt{-(x-bs)^2+a}}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|_{a=0} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Now:

$$\lambda = -x^2 + 2s(b\cdot x) - s^2 b^2 = -x^2 + 2s(b\cdot x) + s^2 \tag{13}$$

where we have taken the *on-shell* condition $b^2 = -1$. The support in the past light-cone leads to the upper bound on s

$$A^\mu(x) = (-1)^n\frac{eb^\mu}{\Omega_D}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\right)^n\int_{-\infty}^{s_-}\frac{1}{\sqrt{-(x-bs)^2+a}}\,\mathrm{d}s\Big|_{a=0} \tag{14}$$

where

$$s_- = -b\cdot x - \sqrt{(b\cdot x)^2 + x^2} \tag{15}$$

Defining:

$$r^2 = (b\cdot x)^2 + x^2 \tag{16}$$

and changing variables from s to θ:

$$s + b\cdot x = -r\cosh(\theta), \tag{17}$$

we then have

$$\begin{aligned} A^\mu(x) &= (-1)^{n+1}\frac{eb^\mu}{\Omega_D}\cdot \\ &\quad\cdot\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}a}\right)^n\int_{-\infty}^{0}\frac{r\sinh(\theta)\,\mathrm{d}\theta}{\sqrt{r^2\cosh^2(\theta) - r^2 + a}}\Big|_{a=0} \\ &= (-1)^{n+1}(-1)^n\frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n r^{2n}}\frac{eb^\mu}{\Omega_D}\int_{-\infty}^{0}\frac{\mathrm{d}\theta}{\sinh^{2n}(\theta)} \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

The integral $\int \mathrm{d}\theta/\sinh^{2n}(\theta)$ is now a constant (the diverging bound at 0 is regularized away) and therefore:

$$A^\mu(x) = C_{n,D}\frac{eb^\mu}{((b\cdot x)^2 + x^2)^n} \tag{19}$$

where $C_{n,D}$ depends only on dimensionality. The fields derived from (19) are

$$F^{\mu\nu}(x) = 2eC_{n,D}\frac{b^\mu x^\nu - b^\nu x^\mu}{((b\cdot x)^2 + x^2)^{n+1}} \tag{20}$$

and are therefore non-zero.

One can see from (13) that

$$s(x;\lambda) = -(b\cdot x) - \sqrt{(b\cdot x)^2 + x^2 - \lambda} \tag{21}$$

and therefore, $\partial s/\partial x^\mu \neq 0$. Thus, if one changes variables from s to $\lambda(x; s) = -R^2(s)$, then

$$A^\mu(x) = \frac{(2n-1)!!}{2^n}\frac{eb^\mu}{\Omega_D}\int_{-\infty}^{s_-}\frac{1}{\lambda^{n+1/2}}\frac{\mathrm{d}\lambda}{R(\lambda)\cdot b} \tag{22}$$

Now, $R_\mu(\lambda) = x_\mu - b_\mu s(x;\lambda)$, and clearly

$$\frac{b^\mu}{R(\lambda)\cdot b} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\mu}\ln|R\cdot b| + \frac{b^2}{R\cdot b}\frac{\partial s(x;\lambda)}{\partial x_\mu} \tag{23}$$

Conclusion. – The no-go theorem for the non-existence of electromagnetic fields due to a point source in odd-dimensional spacetimes is proved to be incorrect. The exact source of error was due to overlooking the somewhat hidden explicit x^μ dependence of the worldline functions $z^\mu(s) \to z^\mu(x;\lambda)$, which breaks the pure gauge construct. This was explicitly demonstrated in the uniform motion case, where the inversion $s(x;\lambda)$ is relatively easy to achieve.

Finally, we note there already exists a substantial body of theoretical work that supports electrodynamics in odd-dimensional spacetimes, cf. [2–5] and references therein.

* * *

We wish to thank Prof. B. P. Kosyakov for the fruitful discussions and for showing the true spirit of science.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. E. Chubykalo, A. Espinoza and B. P. Kosyakov, *No-go theorem for the classical Maxwell-Lorentz electrodynamics in odd-dimensional worlds*, *EPL*, **96** (2011) 60001,
- [2] Martin Land, *Abraham-Lorentz-Dirac equation in 5D Stuekelberg electrodynamics*, *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, **330** (2011) 012015
- [3] Gal'tsov and V. Dmitri, *Radiation reaction in various dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **66** (2002) 025016
- [4] Kazinski, P. O. and Lyakhovich, S. L. and Sharapov, A. A., *Radiation reaction and renormalization in classical electrodynamics of a point particle in any dimension*, *Phys. Rev. D*, **66** (2002) 025017
- [5] I. Aharonovich and L. P. Horwitz, *Green functions for wave propagation on a five-dimensional manifold and the associated gauge fields generated by a uniformly moving point source*, *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, **47** (2006) 122902